

## REMARKS

The applicant would like to thank the examiner for pointing out that claims 48-51 mistakenly remained dependent on claim 45 even after claim 45 was canceled. The applicant has corrected this error by making these claims by making dependent on claim 44, which has been allowed. This amendment is believed to overcome the indefiniteness rejection of claims 48-51 and to place the application in a condition for allowance.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: July 27, 2009

/Jeffrey A. Steck/  
Jeffrey A. Steck  
Reg. No. 40,184

McDonnell Boehnen  
Hulbert & Berghoff LLP  
300 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
phone 312-913-0001